A1. Site/Study ID #: / P A2. Visit Date: / / /	A3. Staff Initials:
A4. Visit: 3.  Year 2 5. Year 4 7. Screening 8. Reread	To DCC □
*The internal variable CTXPGRPT (typically used for page repeat) is being used to capture the Reader's Sit	e
<b>Directions:</b> If there is Protected Health Information (PHI) present on the images <b>DO NOT SUBMIT</b> to DCC. Remove to before submitting to DCC.	he PHI present on the images
B01QUAL B1. Rate the quality of the images:	
1. Adequate	
2. Suboptimal (Select reason why image quality was suboptimal) (check all that apply)	
B01AIAC a. Incomplete anatomic coverage	
B01BPGS b. Poor gray scale technique	
B01CPAM c. Patient motion	
B01DART d. Distortion artifacts	
B01EOT e. Other	
B01ESP (Specify)	
B02LGS B2. Liver Gray Scale US Grade (Note: echogenicity will be evaluated by comparing to kidney)  1. Grade 0 - Normal  2. Grade 1 - Heterogeneous echogenicity  3. Grade 2 - Diffuse homogeneous increased echogenicity  4. Grade 3/4 - Heterogeneous liver texture with nodular parenchyma and margins  *Note: Increased echogenicity that is patchy or limited to periportal regions (>2mm) should be considered Grade 1.	
B03ULT B3. Are the kidneys normal by ultrasound? (i.e. no evidence of medical renal disease) 1. Yes	2. No
B04LOC B4. Level of confidence with grading this image:	
1. Very confident (75%-100%)	
2. Confident (50%-75%)	
3. Somewhat confident (25%-50%)	
4. Not confident (0%-25%)	
RDSIG Interpreting Radiologist Signature  SIGMM Date Month	
SIGDD Date Day Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	
SIGYY Date Year	
SIGDT CMMNT Comment	