# Dataset Integrity Check for The Environmental Determinants of Diabetes in the Young (TEDDY) M162 Ziegler

Prepared by NIDDK-CR March 7, 2022

# Contents

1 Standard Disclaimer	2
2 Study Background	
3 Archived Datasets	
4 Statistical Methods	2
5 Results	3
6 Conclusions	3
7 References	3
Table A: Variables used to replicate Table $f 1$ – Study characteristics by first-degree relative status	4
Table B: Comparison of values computed in integrity check to reference article Table 1 values	5
Attachment A: SAS Code	6

#### 1 Standard Disclaimer

The intent of this DSIC is to provide confidence that the data distributed by the NIDDK repository is a true copy of the study data. Our intent is not to assess the integrity of the statistical analyses reported by study investigators. As with all statistical analyses of complex datasets, complete replication of a set of statistical results should not be expected in secondary analysis. This occurs for a number of reasons including differences in the handling of missing data, restrictions on cases included in samples for a particular analysis, software coding used to define complex variables, etc. Experience suggests that most discrepancies can ordinarily be resolved by consultation with the study data coordinating center (DCC), however this process is labor-intensive for both DCC and Repository staff. It is thus not our policy to resolve every discrepancy that is observed in an integrity check. Specifically, we do not attempt to resolve minor or inconsequential discrepancies with published results or discrepancies that involve complex analyses, unless NIDDK Repository staff suspect that the observed discrepancy suggests that the dataset may have been corrupted in storage, transmission, or processing by repository staff. We do, however, document in footnotes to the integrity check those instances in which our secondary analyses produced results that were not fully consistent with those reported in the target publication.

# 2 Study Background

The TEDDY study was designed to follow children with and without a family history of type 1 diabetes (T1D) to understand the environmental factors that contribute to the disease. Newborn children younger than 4 months were screened for high-risk HLA alleles, and those with qualifying haplotypes were eligible for follow-up. Information is collected on medical information (infections, medication, immunizations), exposure to dietary and other environmental factors, negative life events, family history, tap water, and measurements of psychological stress. Biospecimens, including blood, stool, urine, and nail clippings, are taken at baseline and follow-up study visits. The primary outcome measures include two endpoints—the first appearance of one or more islet cell autoantibodies (GADA, IAA, or IA-2A), confirmed at two consecutive visits, and development of T1D. The cohort will be followed for 15 years, or until the occurrence of one of the primary endpoints.

The M162 study sought to determine how much of the risk for autoimmunity and subsequent T1D, among those with high-risk HLA genotypes in the TEDDY cohort, can be attributable to genetic enrichment in affected families.

#### 3 Archived Datasets

All SAS data files, as provided by the Data Coordinating Center (DCC), are located in the TEDDY folder in the data package. For this replication, variables were taken from the "M\_162\_AZiegler\_NIDDK\_18JUL2017.sas7bdat" dataset.

#### 4 Statistical Methods

Analyses were performed to replicate results for the data published by Hippich et al. [1] for Genetic Contribution to the Divergence in Type 1 Diabetes Risk Between Children From the General Population and Children From Affected Families. To verify the integrity of the dataset, descriptive statistics were computed. No genetic risk scores were calculated in this replication.

## 5 Results

For Table 1 in the publication [1], <u>Study characteristics by first-degree relative status</u>, Table A lists the variables that were used in the replication, and Table B compares the results calculated from the archived data files to the results published in Table 1. The results of the replication are within expected variation to the published results.

#### 6 Conclusions

The NIDDK Central Repository is confident that the TEDDY M162 data files to be distributed are a true copy of the study data.

## 7 References

[1] Hippich M, Beyerlein A, Hagopian WA, Krischer JP, Vehik K, Knoop J, Winker C, Toppari J, Lernmark Å, Rewers MJ, Steck AK, She JX, Akolkar B, Robertson CC, Onengut-Gumuscu S, Rich SS, Bonifacio E, Ziegler AG. Genetic Contribution to the Divergence in Type 1 Diabetes Risk Between Children From the General Population and Children From Affected Families. Diabetes, 68(4), 847-857, April 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.2337/db18-0882

Table A: Variables used to replicate Table 1 – Study characteristics by first-degree relative status

Table Variable	dataset.variable
Males	M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_18JUL2017.sex
HLA genotype	M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_18JUL2017.HLA_Category
Country	M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_18JUL2017.Country
First-degree relative with T1D	M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_18JUL2017.family_mem
Outcome events	M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_18JUL2017.persist_conf_gad
	M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_18JUL2017.persist_conf_miaa
	M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_18JUL2017.persist_conf_multiple
	M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_18JUL2017.t1d

Table B: Comparison of values computed in integrity check to reference article Table 1 values

Variable	FDR	FDR	Diff.	GP children –	GP children –	Diff.
	children –	children –	(n=0)	Manuscript	DSIC	(n=0)
	Manuscript	DSIC		(n=4,149)	(n=4,149)	
	(n=423)	(n=423)				
Males	200 (47.3)	200 (47.3)	0 (0)	2082 (50.2)	2082 (50.2)	0 (0)
HLA genotype						
DR3/4-DQ8	280 (66.2)	280 (66.2)	0 (0)	2755 (66.4)	2755 (66.4)	0 (0)
DR4-DQ8/DR4-DQ8	143 (33.8)	143 (33.8)	0 (0)	1394 (33.6)	1394 (33.6)	0 (0)
Country						
U.S.	194 (45.9)	194 (45.9)	(0)	1750 (42.2)	1750 (42.2)	0 (0)
Finland	51 (12.1)	51 (12.1)	0 (0)	792 (19.1)	792 (19.1)	0 (0)
Germany	92 (21.7)	92 (21.7)	0 (0)	209 (5.0)	209 (5.0)	0 (0)
Sweden	86 (20.3)	86 (20.3)	0 (0)	1398 (33.7)	1398 (33.7)	0 (0)
First-degree relative with T1D						
None	0 (0.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4149 (100.0)	4149 (100.0)	0 (0)
Mother	146 (34.5)	146 (34.5)	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0)
Father	180 (42.6)	180 (42.6)	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0)
Sibling	79 (18.7)	79 (18.7)	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0)
Multiplex	18 (4.3)	18 (4.3)	0 (0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0)
Outcome events						
One or more islet autoantibodies	85 (20.1)	85 (20.1)	0 (0)	415 (10.0)	415 (10.0)	0 (0)
Multiple islet autoantibodies	69 (16.3)	69 (16.3)	0 (0)	255 (6.1)	255 (6.1)	0 (0)
First-appearing IAA	51 (12.1)	73 (17.3)	22 (5.2)	227 (5.5)	289 (7.0)	62 (1.5)
First-appearing GADA	46 (10.9)	70 (16.5)	24 (5.6)	250 (6.0)	332 (8.0)	82 (2.0)
Diabetes	47 (11.1)	47 (11.1)	0 (0)	145 (3.5)	145 (3.5)	0 (0)

### Attachment A: SAS Code

```
libname dsic "X:\NIDDK\niddk-
dr_studies6\TEDDY\private_orig_data\M_162_AZiegler_NIDDK_Submission";
/*************/
/* Dataset Integrity Check for TEDDY */
/* M162 */
/**************
*data: limiting to the high risk HLA categories outlined in the publication;
data m162; set dsic.m 162 aziegler niddk 18jul2017;
where HLA_Category = 1 OR HLA_Category = 2;
run;
*Sex variable by FDR status among participants with high risk HLA genotypes outlined in pub;
proc freq data=m162;
tables sex*fdr/norow nopercent;
run;
*HLA genotype variable by FDR status;
proc freq data=m162;
tables HLA Category*fdr/norow nopercent;
run;
*Country variable by FDR status;
proc freq data=m162;
tables Country*fdr/norow nopercent;
run;
*First degree relative with T1D by FDR statust;
*making new variable for family memebers to match publication;
data m162 2; set m162;
if family mem = 0 then fam = 0;
if family mem = 2 OR family mem = 3 OR family mem = 4 then fam = 1;
if family_mem = 5 then fam = 2;
if family mem = 6 then fam = 3;
if family_mem = 7 then fam = 4;
run;
proc freq data=m162_2;
tables Fam*FDR/norow nopercent;
run;
*Outcomes variable;
*making a new variable to match the publication;
data m162_3; set m162_2;
```